

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES BEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/019,565	06/05/2002	Nils Olof Hakansson	559224-66498 Hb/Gu	8698	
466	7590 07/17/2003				
	THOMPSON	EXAMINER			
	23RD STREET 2ND F N, VA 22202	HOPKINS, ROBERT A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724		

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)	——
	Office Action Surrey	10/019,565 · HAKANSSON ET AL.			ΓAL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Robert A Hopl		1724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the co	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ddress
THE - External exte	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ho ly within the statutory r will apply and will expi e. cause the applicatio	wever, may a reply be time ninimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from t n to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this o	ly. communication.
Status	,				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-	final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for Ex parte Quaylo	formal matters, pro e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	osecution as to the 53 O.G. 213.	ne merits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) $1-8$ is/are pending in the application.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from conside	eration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-8</u> is/are rejected.				
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requir	ement.		
9)∐ Т	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		·	
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		ted to by the Exam	iner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on				er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			,	•
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)🛛 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f)	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		3 (2)	(-) (-).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rec	eived.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			ı No	
	3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list o	ity documents h eau (PCT Rule	ave been received 17.2(a)).	in this National S	Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				annlication)
a)	The translation of the foreign language processing the translation of the foreign language processing the statement is made of a claim for domestic	visional applicat	ion has been recei	ved.	αρμισαίιση,
، ر الله المراد الم		o priority unuel .	.5 0.5.6. 39 120 a	11U/UL 121.	
Notice Notice Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u> .	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (F Notice of Informal Pat Other:		
S. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.		ion Summary	Pa	art of Paper No. 8	<u>.</u>

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Examiner respectfully requests applicant provide proper headings for the specification, such as background of the invention, summary of the invention, brief description of the drawings, detailed description of the invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guzdar et al(3662524) taken together with Hofer et al(5954035).

Guzdar et al discloses a device for separating small drops of liquid from a flowing gas, containing liquid mist, comprising a first container(2) formed of a cylindrical lateral wall and tow opposite end walls, the first container having an inlet(5a) in one end wall for the gas/mist, a first outlet(6a) in the other end wall for the gas and a second outlet(26) at the second end wall for liquid separated out of the gas, a second container(3) with means(4) for rotatably mounting the first container in the second container rotationally symmetrically in relation to the inlet and first outlet, and drive

Art Unit: 1724

means(9) for rotation of the first container in the second container, wherein the inlet and outlets communicate with each other via a plurality of layers of peripherally spaced narrow channels(21a) extending in the direction of the rotational axis and being disposed at a radial distance from the rotational axis, the narrow channels having a radial dimension being adapted to their length, the radial distance to the rotational axis, the flow velocity of the gas, and the rotational speed, so that at least the major portion of the liquid drops in the mist will have time to be deposited on the channel walls before they reach the outlet. Guzdar et al is silent as to wherein the inlet of the first container is joined to a ventilation conduit from a crankcase of an internal combustion engine and its outlet is joined to an inlet air conduit to the engine. Hofer et al discloses a centrifugal device for separating oil from an oil air mixture, wherein the inlet of the centrifugal device is joined to a ventilation conduit from a crankcase of an internal combustion engine and the outlet is joined to an inlet air conduit to the engine. It would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to connect the gas/liquid centrifugal separator of Guzdar et al to a ventilation conduit from a crankcase of an internal combustion engine and to an inlet air conduit of an engine so that oil from a crankcase gas can be centrifugally separated within the channels of the separator of Guzdar et al.

Guzdar et al further discloses wherein the drive means are formed by a liquid turbine. Guzdar et al further teaches wherein the extent of the channel layers radially amounts to circa 20% of the radius of the first container. Guzdar et al further discloses wherein the end walls are joined to rotatably mounted hollow shafts, the interiors of

which forming inlet and outlet channels, respectively, and in that a turbine wheel is fixed to one of the shafts. Guzdar et al further discloses wherein the radius of the first container is circa 100mm and its length circa 200mm(see dimensions column 2 lines 20-22), that the container is designed to be driven at a rotational speed of circa 3000 rpm(column 3 line 12), that the radial dimension of the channels is less that 1mm(see dimensions column 2 line 28), and that the outermost channel layer is located at a maximum radial distance from the rotational axis.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 2 recites "said inlet opening leading to a plurality of radial inlet passages(35) leading out to inlets of the channels(34), and that the channels have outlets opening, firstly, into a plurality of radial outlet passages(36), through which the gas can flow into an outlet opening(24) placed concentrically with said rotational axis". Guzdar discloses an inlet and outlet, but does not disclose a plurality of radial inlet passages and a plurality of radial outlet passages. It would not have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a plurality of radial inlet passages and radial outlet passages because Guzdar et al does not suggest such a modification. Claims 3 and 4 depend on claim 2 and hence would also be allowable upon incorporation of claim 2 into claim 1.

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A Hopkins whose telephone number is 703-308-3913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-4:00pm, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on 703-308-1261. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9572 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Robert A Hopkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

rah July 14, 2003